

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/682,100

Applicant(s)

~~MIYAUCHI ET AL.~~ Teshima et al.

Examiner

Kim-Kwok CHU

Art Unit

2653

8

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kim-Kwok CHU.

(3) N/A

(2) Mr. Robert Miller

(4) N/A

Date of Interview: 8/27/04

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: N/A

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 4, 14, & 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: 5,337,296 of Okubo; Re 36,590 (Yanagi) & 5,866,495 of Takahashi et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

1. Mr. Miller states that the prior art of Okubo ('296) does not have the following features:-
 - (a) a first scan speed;
 - (b) a second scan speed; &
 - (c) the second scan speed is slower than the 1st scan speed.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Kim Chu 8/27/04
Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/682,100

Applicant(s)

MIYAUCHI ET AL.

Examiner

Kim-Kwok CHU

Art Unit

2653

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kim-Kwok CHU.

(3) _____.

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required